29

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 2732 of 2007

Petitioners

1. Kiran Kumar Dubey Aged about 42 Years, S/o. Shri Nav Chandra Prasad Dubey, Assistant Teacher, Primary School Sendripali,
2. Shanker Lal Soni Aged about 50 Years, S/o. Shri Moti Lal Soni Assistant Teacher, Primary School Ulda,
3. Lakhan Lal Dansena Aged about 41 Years, S/o. Shri Kanhiya Lal Dansena, Assistant Teacher, Primary School Jobi,
4. Parmeshwar Lal yadav Aged about 47 years, S/o. Shri K. Nidhi Assistant Teacher, Primary School Bhalunara,
5. Niranjan Prasad Rathore, Aged about 45 years, S/o Shri Ganesh Ram Rathore, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Bhaluchuva,
6. Bisheshar Prasad Patel Aged about 43 years, S/o Rohit Kumar Patel, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Javalpur,
7. Ram Kumar Patel, Aged about 43 Years, S/o Tarachand Patel, Assistant Teacher Govt. Primary School Gindola,

All are at Block Kharsia, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Director Public Instruction Department Raipur (C.G.)
3. District Education Officer Raigarh District Raigarh (C.G.)
4. Block Education Officer Kharsia (C.G.)
5. Assistant Commissioner Tribal Welfare Department, Raigarh Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

Writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Present: Mr. Anil Mourya, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

30

ORDER
(Passed on 7th Day of May, 2007)

1. The question of law involved herein is asto whether the petitioners are entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006.

3. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash